



THE  TIMES

Why did Bill Gates give \$10million to history teacher David Christian?



David Christian and Bill Gates Mark Peterson/Redux/Eyevine

Giles Whittell

Last updated at 11:26AM, September 22 2014

There's a pretty good argument for saying that while Bill Gates may be the richest person in the world, the most powerful person in the world is an affable historian called David Christian.

The argument goes like this: Gates and Christian know each other. They get along and they share Christian's take on the history of the Universe. Gates is using his unfathomably large fortune to help Christian disseminate this version of history to schools in America, Australia, Korea, the Netherlands and now Britain. And, as Confucius say, he who controls the past controls the future.

Christian doesn't look like a Time Lord and, unlike Gates, he doesn't have his days divided into five-minute segments like a head of state's. He looks like an uncle. He wears a fleece even though it's a balmy Indian summer's day. He meets me at the Oxford college where he's staying for a few days and we go to his "cell" (an apt description) to talk at leisure about asteroids, dinosaurs and the Big Bang.

"I think it's absolutely essential that everyone has a preliminary grip on the idea of the Big Bang [among many other things] as a teacher I can do that," he says. As a history teacher, mind you.

It's been said often in the past few weeks that Christian is Gates's favourite historian, but this isn't strictly true. He's Gates's favourite Big Historian, and Big History is the study of history on such unimaginably large timescales that it ends up being at least as much about science as people.

This may be one reason why Gates likes it. When they meet up, as they do from time to time, "it's just great nerdy conversation", Christian says. But the other reason Gates likes Big History is that it brings together everything we know, or think we know, or hope we know, in one all-encompassing story.

This is something that Christian thinks is missing from modern secular education at huge cost to our children's understanding of the modern world, never mind the ancient one, and it's something Gates wishes he was taught way back in high school.

We know this because he said so six years ago in San Diego. Gates had recently retired from day-to-day management of Microsoft and was spending a lot of time pounding his treadmill at home in Seattle, watching educational videos.

One day he sampled a Big History course fronted by the irrepressible Christian. He found himself hurtling through 13.7 billion years of physics, chemistry, geology, anthropology and engineering in exhilarating but easily digested instalments and he was

smitten. "I just loved it," he said years later. "I thought, God, everybody should watch this thing."

As you do when you're Gates, he had his people set up a meeting. It happened down the coast in San Diego because Christian was teaching there at the time and it went famously. "Look," says Christian. "I grew up in England. England does not admire multimillionaires, unlike the United States, so my default position on millionaires was that they'd be corrupt or stupid or something. And I'm slightly embarrassed to find myself singing Gates's praises but I'll do so happily."

Gates, he says, is obviously a good businessman but is also "very, very smart indeed", an "intellectual enthusiast", "deeply ethical, but not in a pious way", "absolutely sincere", and "works like *crazy* on all his projects". What Gates actually said at that meeting, as Christian recalls it, was: "I had a lot of fun making a ton of money. I'm having even more fun trying to find ways of spending it in ways that maximise the good stuff that money does."

Here was the archetypal software billionaire channelling Andrew Carnegie, Jeremy Bentham and the entire western enlightenment in search of the greatest possible improvement for the greatest possible number. Since then Gates has spent an estimated \$10 million to fund a Big History Project website, conferences to teach teachers how to teach Big History and small grants to schools that choose to include it in their timetables.

Some people are alarmed by what they see as the implications. Educationalists canvassed for a recent *New York Times Magazine* cover story fretted about whether Gates was foisting his own view of history on a generation of kids just because he could. Since then similar things have been said elsewhere. These miss or deliberately ignore two key points, and Christian is annoyed.

"One of the reasons I'm annoyed at some of the comments is they assume we're telling a dogmatic story," he says. "I don't know why they assume that. I'm a university teacher. I expect my smarter students to receive whatever I say critically."

The other thing that vexes him is the suggestion that the Big History course on offer to schools and through the web has been devised by Gates himself. It's been done by Christian, over 20 years, as a labour of love.

It grew out of a frustration with conventional history teaching, which focuses on particular themes and periods like the Russian history in which he used to specialise, with little attempt to join them up. That grew into a more general frustration with modern teaching's insistence on keeping subjects in separate silos.

"In most societies we know of, education included a kind of universal story, so what I'm doing is not original," Christian says. "What is really weird is modern education, which does not teach such a story. It teaches knowledge in bits and pieces and except within religious traditions we don't teach kids a sort of unified account of reality."

Starting in the late 1980s at Macquarie University in Sydney, Christian set out to fix this problem with a new, scientific universal story that differed from the religious ones by being, for want of a better word, true.

HG Wells tried this shortly after the First World War, but the science wasn't good enough. Crucially, it couldn't punctuate prehistory with dates with any confidence. In the past century all that has changed and Christian's story starts at a remarkably precise point, a billionth of a billionth of a billionth of a second after the Big Bang because that's when the data kicks in.

From that tiny fraction of a second after the bang, "we can tell an evidence-based story", he says. "It's pretty good science. It's based on a huge amount of data. Lots of rigorous maths. It fits with everything we know about physics. Before that we just run out of data. We have no evidence."

But why take a historian's word for it? His answer, paraphrased, is why take a teacher's word for anything? "How many teachers are actually teaching only in the area in which they do primary research? Most teachers spend most of their time talking about subjects that they've tried to get on top of, but they are not research experts."

He tells a story about a recent World Economic Forum meeting in China at which he held forth on cosmology only for a real cosmologist, Lawrence Krauss, to approach him afterwards with "one or two minor corrections" on the subject of energy at the dawn of time. "Thank God they were minor, [but] that's as it should be."

Christian says proudly that he's always been a nerd. For much of his Big History syllabus his method has been to Hoover up the best scientific consensus he can find on the biggest subjects out there simply by reading mountains of popular science, summarising it for students and sticking it on a giant 13.7 billion-year timeline.

The result, in 2011, was a Ted Talk delivered in California in which he offered a history of the Universe in less than 18 minutes based on eight great developmental hinges that he calls "thresholds".

The Big Bang is threshold No 1. No 2 is the emergence of stars from a homogenous soup of hydrogen and helium atoms over the course of the next 200 million years. The death throes of the first stars create the chemical complexity needed for the first planets (Christian clicks his fingers quietly and rather dramatically), and these are threshold No 3.

Fast-forward to four and a half billion years ago and the birth of not just any planet, but ours — rocky, watery, close, but not

too close, to the Sun and with “a sort of chemical complexity unthinkable in the early Universe”: threshold No 4. Then early life, human life, agriculture and the Industrial Revolution are five, six, seven and eight respectively.

Yes, they come thick and fast as you get closer to the present. Yes, he’s given us humans an importance out of all proportion to our physical presence in the Universe. He says we can take this either as a storyteller’s statement or a scientific one, but his own hunch is that as the only species we know of to have harnessed the extraordinary amount of energy stored up in fossil fuels laid down over 300 million years, we really do deserve a threshold of our own.

Big History as written by Christian is now taught formally in 300 schools in America, 100 in Australia, five in Scotland and one in England (Wellington College). Informally, thousands more teenagers and university students are getting a taste of it from the web.

Students and teachers alike are encouraged to think critically and give feedback (“Gates is very keen on hard-nosed feedback on your product”), which is a good thing because Christian is quite relaxed about presenting hotly debated theory as settled consensus, if not fact. This goes for his explanation for the extinction of the dinosaurs (asteroid strike on Yucatan); for the Big Bang itself; and for extraterrestrial life (“probably fairly common”, he says, at least in bacterial form).

Creationists who believe that we’re alone in the Universe naturally beg to differ. After all, they have a universal story of their own. But that doesn’t mean they can’t try merging the two, or even swap theirs for his, and Christian likes to think that some may have begun to do just that.

Now 68, he is the son of a British colonial servant, formed by grammar school in Guildford but long since based in Sydney and not minded to move. He has a wife, two children and a grandson whose future he often talks about out of concern that we may be heading for a serious catastrophe triggered by man-made climate change.

Without Gates’s blessing his version of Big History would probably have grown in popularity, but slowly. With it, it is growing fast and he’s not coy about its political implications.

In a world of unchecked fossil fuel use, he says, expect a Pearl Harbor-style event that suddenly persuades “a critical mass of governments to take [climate change] seriously”. In a world of widening income inequality and “whole generations of young men who can’t find a job, expect jihadists”.

In the meantime, expect Big History, coming soon to a school near you.

Big History - the 8 thresholds: key events that built the Universe

- Threshold 1:** The Big Bang. Beginning at the beginning. As far as we know.
- Threshold 2:** Stars Light Up. How stars are born.
- Threshold 3:** New Chemical Elements. How stars forge matter in the Universe.
- Threshold 4:** Earth and the Solar System. How tasty morsels of gas and rock created our home.
- Threshold 5:** Life on Earth. How life evolves, adapts and thrives.
- Threshold 6:** Collective Learning. How humans are different.
- Threshold 7:** Agriculture. How farming sows the seeds of civilisation.
- Threshold 8:** The Modern Revolution. Why change accelerates faster and faster.

bighistoryproject.com

50 comments



Bob Bain

71 people listening

[+ Follow](#) [Post comment](#)

Newest | Oldest | Most Recommended

ROBERT Vincent

3 hours ago

When challenged let not your beliefs falter my friend
hold fast and abide by the letter
but accept every day there'll be others who'll say

that theirs are a jolly sight better.
 Tolerate but don't cede everything you've believed
 despite madness of doubts that you feel
 for despite all the friction and much contradiction
 see God as a concept - not real.
 Theism works well, be there Heaven and Hell
 for those keeping safe without sin
 while gaining new strength from debating at length,
 angels dance on the head of a pin?
 All theory at best but when put to the test
 along life's rocky surface we tread
 if it's false or it's true both for me and for you
 we'll find out for sure once we're dead!

©RJV

[Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

[whitaker](#)

4 hours ago

Marvelous!

1  [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

[Peter Lewiston](#)

4 hours ago

See also EH Gombrich's 'A Little History of the World' - not quite as big a history as Mr Christian's, but a good book for children and a very nice antidote to the 'isolated hillocks' method currently operative in our schools.

[Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

[James Stevenson](#)

7 hours ago

Surely the main drift of this "science" is the impossibility of believing in any religion. And, hey, what a relief !

If this "history "could be accepted (a huge challenge, Bill) would we all not be better off? Just get on with living intelligently in the real world in an organised fashion with sensible checks and balances.

3    [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

[Mrs Ernestine Brett](#)

8 hours ago

Good for him. The public ignorance that has resulted from fifty years and more of compartmentalized, apparently irrelevant school learning is breathtaking and anything that awakes people's curiosity about the world we live in AND its people has to be a good thing.

4     [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

[Peter Cressall](#)

9 hours ago

A teacher of history, but obviously not of English. "absolutely essential that everybody has...". Well, that's all right then. "Meet up", in the air, perhaps? (admittedly, this is the writer's gaffe). "Wishes he was taught". I assume he means "wishes he had been taught". And so it goes on.

1  [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

[Bill Forrest](#)

2 hours ago

@[Peter Cressall](#)

When I was working class we used to call hastily convened short meetings "scrum-downs". Just as bad as "meeting up", I suppose.

[Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

[Peter Parkinson](#)

10 hours ago

Are Media Moguls like Eliot Carver in the film "Tomorrow never Dies" so powerful with their money they can do what they like with their money, from the profits from us. If it wasn't for the public the internet would still be military.

Not exterminate, exterminate its control, control of the Media and internet making money for Apple and Microsoft changing the world.

You can effect nature but never change it because its so powerful.

What's happened in the past has gone light years ago, live for now and the betterment of human and animal life, I have found where my hedge hog lives in my garden, hope he has a nice life next to the fence behind the strawberry plants.

[Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

Mrs Ernestine Brett

8 hours ago

@Peter Parkinson But how can you decide what is going to improve human and animal life if you have no idea where either is coming from? I wish your hedgehog luck.

1  [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

Hugh Maund

8 hours ago

Light years are a measure of distance not time

2   [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

Ken P

6 hours ago

@Peter Parkinson *You can effect nature but never change it because its so powerful.*

I don't think so, but you might be able to affect it.

[Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

Henry Percy-Pole

11 hours ago

Most obviously Big Propaganda. In the first place, Bill Gates is not the richest man in the world.

Secondly, population is a much more serious problem than climate change which it is causing.

Thirdly, the recent history of Power is much more to the point, and of most relevance to our immediate survival, but equally way too subversive to ever get publicised.

3    [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

A HOARE

9 hours ago

@Henry Percy-Pole

Henry. Who cares if one is 1st or 25th?

2   [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

Stephen Milner

7 hours ago

@Henry Percy-Pole yawn.

1  [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

Paul Bickerdike

11 hours ago

Gates almost certainly did not say "I had a lot of fun making a tonne of money". They still use tons over there not those metric thingies.

2   [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

currentbun

14 hours ago

If Gates is that smart he could read The Vedas. Vedic science described in antiquity what scientists are only just beginning to discover - and more.

Big history is nothing new.

Hindu religious scriptures such as the Vedas and Puraṇas describe a massive range of units of Kala measurements, spanning right from Paramaṇu (time length of about 17 microseconds) to the Maha-Manvantara (311.04 trillion years). According to these texts, the creation and destruction of the universe is a cyclic process, which repeats itself forever. Each cycle starts with the birth and expansion (lifetime) of the universe equaling 311.04 trillion years, followed by its complete annihilation (which also prevails for the same duration).

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hindu_units_of_time

2  Recommend Reply**Christopher Jones**

9 hours ago

@currentbun No, I don't think so. Your kind of big history is something handed down from some kind of unchallengeable authority. What this guy's teaching is stuff discovered by people working from the ground up, examining evidence and making hypotheses based on it. What's more, it's challengeable, because if evidence comes along that disproves it, we have to discard it and develop new theories that fit the evidence. Different thing altogether.

2  Recommend Reply**MARK LAMBERT**

4 hours ago

@**Christopher Jones** Yes but I am sure you would agree based on your description of these evidence-based techniques that the body of knowledge available is limited to the human-visible universe. Faith holds that there is a reality beyond this which would seem fairly indisputable given that even if we were sure we could see everything, we wouldn't be able to prove we could see everything. This is not to prove that there is a God, only to prove that there are things we can't prove.

Recommend Reply

currentbun

2 hours ago

@Christopher Jones

Evidence, hypotheses and much conjecture then? I wonder what he's going to do with the info?

Recommend Reply

ROBERT Vincent

14 hours ago

Please help me to understand living
on this planet we're pleased to call Earth
not large by circumferential mileage
merely twenty five thousand in girth.
As a lad I grew up and was happy
believing God who I'd later surmise
looked after us all, faults and vitrtues,
accepting His judgements were wise.
But I'm old now and doubts keep intruding
from universes I learn of anew
which scientists say million light years away,
is our Lord looking after them too?

©RJV

14    Recommend Reply**MARK LAMBERT**

2 hours ago

In the same way as he looks after not just me but several billion others...yes.

Recommend Reply

globaljobber

14 hours ago

"...the extraordinary amount of energy stored up in fossil fuels laid down over 300 million years". Hmmm. So we release into a closed system what we call our atmosphere, the energy built up over 300 million years within a mere 200 years. No global warming caused by man? lol.

3    Recommend Reply**currentbun**

14 hours ago

@globaljobber

No - because it is not released with the equivalence of 300 million years worth of energy - and what energetic unit is your measure anyway?

1  Recommend Reply

[globaljobber](#)

13 hours ago

[@currentbun](#) I'm not a scientist, and I do not understand completely all the ramifications of how this released energy is fully dissipated. Some is converted to heat energy when the oil is burnt, the rest is converted into other forms of energy - both however are released into our closed system atmosphere however as I understand it. So I do feel that all this energy captured over many millions of years, then released in such a short time scale will have an enormous effect on our environment. However, I can be wrong of course, and would seriously love to hear your thinking of how this 'extra' energy would not affect our planet.

[Recommend](#) [Reply](#)[Paul Bickerdike](#)

11 hours ago

[@globaljobber](#) [@currentbun](#) The atmosphere is not a closed system as far as energy is concerned. The heat and light produced from burning fossil fuels radiates into space. It's the stuff left behind like CO2 which may cause problems.

[1](#) [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)[M Sheridan](#)

13 hours ago

[@globaljobber](#)

First of all we cannot access all of that carbon. Secondly how far away has the carbon released so far moved the next Ice Age? Perhaps 100,00 years? More? By which stage mankind will have developed a whole new range of technologies.

Provided the luddites haven't moved us back to a stage of development prior to the Dark Ages. It won't matter because with a high degree of certainty, some non terrestrial impact event will have produced an Ice Age anyway.

Mankind is the one organism raised on the Earth so far which may be able to prevent that event taking place.

[1](#) [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)[Gee Tee](#)

11 hours ago

[@globaljobber](#) Yes, but you are making the mistake that all GW alarmists make of assuming that a great release of energy automatically = global warming. In fact there is very little evidence that there is much variation outside of the planets natural cycles of warming and cooling, influenced by all manner of events.

That does not mean that I (or anyone who does not subscribe to the dogmatic GW view) thinks that unrestrainedly burning fossil fuels is a good idea, for reasons of pollution if nothing else, but nor do we believe that ugly, ineffective and expensive technologies like wind power are the answer either.

[5](#) [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)[globaljobber](#)

9 hours ago

[@Gee Tee](#) I agree that some heat is lost to space. Climate modelling is complicated, and not limited to one single factor, so it's difficult to nail it all down. For example, lots of snow = reflection of heat into space. (We are losing snow - fast). We do know that the releasing of the energy from burning fossil fuels adds CO2 to our atmosphere, and to me this IS the consequence of releasing all that energy in one go. As I understand it, all the previous measurable ice ages of the last 750,000 years, i.e. global warming/cooling periods, had CO2 levels around 180 - 280 parts per million. So we had massive cooling and massive warming periods with CO2 levels within this low range - the pre-human-industrial range. We have now doubled that to around 400 CO2 parts per million. This is bound to change things. We are getting warmer - fast - and it's our fault. The thing is, can we afford to take a chance that global warming isn't our fault?

[1](#) [Recommend](#) [Reply](#)[Paul Bickerdike](#)

7 hours ago

[@globaljobber](#) It's not 'some heat' that's lost to space it's all of it. The heat generated by burning fossil fuels is minuscule compared to the heat arriving from the sun so you don't need to worry about it. The problem is that we need to radiate the heat from the sun back into space at the same rate as it arrives otherwise the earth gets hotter and hotter. Some gases which we are putting into the atmosphere appear to make this a bit more difficult to do which can result in an increase in average temperature. This is what people are worried about.

Climate modelling is indeed complicated as you say. One puzzle is that global temperatures are not rising as the models say they should and we don't know why.

1 Recommend Reply

kurt howes

4 hours ago

@Gee Tee a dangerous statement

" In fact there is very little evidence that there is much variation outside of the planets natural cycles of warming and cooling, influenced my all manner of events."

A recent study of nearly 12,000 professional scientific journal papers about global warming, found that—of the papers expressing a stance on global warming—97 percent endorse both the reality of global warming and the fact that humans are causing it.

source <http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-9326/8/2/024024/article>

Recommend Reply

bill torbitt

15 hours ago

This is dangerously simplistic stuff, scientifically (see my comment under the Times leader which enthuses over 'big history'). Although good popularisers of science are always welcome.

But if you want a short history of nearly everything, wasn't this done years ago and more readably by Bill Bryson?

6 Recommend Reply

currentbun

14 hours ago

@bill torbitt

And much of it a load of rubbish.

2 Recommend Reply

Mr David Taylor

21 hours ago

Why?Because he can!

Recommend Reply

Avellino

23 hours ago

I always thought this was basic education.

Call it over-optimism.

Recommend Reply

Mr Gerald McDermott

23 hours ago

I would have thought all history worldwide would be based on this by now. Shows how obstinate humans can be. That must be stage 9.

3 Recommend Reply

71.7824371409042

22 hours ago

@Mr Gerald McDermott In this spirit maybe we should stop the information about the vaccination programs as well? After all they must be no more than key stage 3 or even lower than that.

Recommend Reply

Freebooter

21 hours ago

@Mr Gerald McDermott

History is a record of past facts. The Big Bang may be a plausible theory but that is all it is: a theory which which is now seriously doubted as a singular event by a lot of leading physicists.

3 Recommend Reply

Douglas Vere-Dresser

18 hours ago

@Freebooter @Mr Gerald McDermott " seriously doubted as a singular event by a lot of leading physicists.

... a concept that is readily entertained (for a variety of reasons) by this non physicist altho' very

much interested ToL reader, on the basis of episodic reading and direct personal experience.

1  Recommend Reply

bill torbitt

15 hours ago

@Douglas Vere-Dresser @Freebooter @Mr Gerald McDermott Even though the big bang did occur (maybe an infinite number of times) there is still much we do not know about it.

2   Recommend Reply

D Langshaw

11 hours ago

@Freebooter @Mr Gerald McDermott Unfortunately, history is only an *interpretation* of past events, that may not actually be "facts" at all.

1  Recommend Reply

Freebooter

11 hours ago

@D Langshaw @Freebooter @Mr Gerald McDermott

No, history is the facts. Everything else is theory about what is known but it is not history. Crecy, Agincourt, happened. Boudicca rebelled - this is history. 'As many as 10,000 killed at Colchester' is not history, it is adornment.

[Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

A HOARE

9 hours ago

@Freebooter @D Langshaw @Mr Gerald McDermott

History is never the facts. Someone who recorded it or others notion's of the facts is not factual.

1  Recommend Reply

Freebooter

1 hour ago

@A HOARE @Freebooter @D Langshaw @Mr Gerald McDermott

History is always the facts. Wrongly recorded information about a past event is not history

[Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

D Langshaw

8 hours ago

@Freebooter @D Langshaw @Mr Gerald McDermott What about the Gunpowder Plot? Did it happen? It used to be regarded as an absolute fact, whereas now it is understood to be a manifestation of all sorts of things that were going on in 1605. Some people (me included) still say there was a real, actual, Gunpowder Plot - but others say it was just a bit of robust anti-Catholic prejudice (common for the time) which just got a bit out of hand. Not a Gunpowder Plot at all, but an Establishment fit-up, aided by a bit of torture.

[Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

Paul Bickerdike

7 hours ago

@D Langshaw @Freebooter @Mr Gerald McDermott As any fule kno history started in 55 BC and finished in 1066 so the Gunpowder Plot is not a historical fact whether it happened or not.

[Recommend](#) [Reply](#)

Rosiemc

7 hours ago

OK.. If you want to be 'picky' folks... Regarding us , Hom.sap.sap. , I was taught. That History starts when societies 'recorded' their experiences.. Everything prior to that is PRE History and then all the names given to studies of the origins of Man and beasts and then into the history of the earth and universe, blah blah..

But 'History' is in fact a defined term and has a starting point.. It begins with the written record of any given society, by that society or a literate one that has contact with it.

Whether what was recorded ties in with the Archeology is a different matter.. But that is the fun of it all.

Recommend Reply

Henry Percy-Pole

6 hours ago

@D Langshaw @Freebooter @Mr Gerald McDermott True most of history is hearsay. For example, was there really a Battle of Hastings? If so, where is the evidence?

Recommend Reply

John Sims

11 hours ago

@Freebooter @Mr Gerald McDermott Name them.

Recommend Reply

Nick Fisher

1 day ago

David's TED talk is awesome and can be found here:

http://www.ted.com/talks/david_christian_big_history

6     Recommend Reply

Mr. Onil Banerjee

23 hours ago

@Nick Fisher Thanks - I was just about to Google that. Very helpful.

Recommend Reply

Livefyre

© Times Newspapers Limited 2014 | Version 5.12.2.0(133429)

Registered in England No. 894646 Registered office:

1 London Bridge Street, SE1 9GF

My Account | Editorial Complaints | RSS | Classified advertising | Display advertising | The Times Whisky Club | Encounters Dating | Sunday Times Wine Club | Privacy & Cookie Policy | Syndication | Site Map | FAQ | Terms & Conditions | Contact us | iPhone | Android smartphone | Android tablet | Kindle | Kindle Fire | Place an announcement in The Times | Sunday Times Driving | The Times Bookshop | Times Tutorials | Times Currency Services | Times Print Gallery | Handpicked Collection

v